No 2 June Sessions, 1921. In the Court of Quarter Sessions of Cambria Co., Pa. Petition of members of Council and inhabitants of Nanty Clo Borough for appointment of viewers for new bridge. Now Years 18th 1921, on consideration of the within petition alau John & DRutini and All Thous appointed viewers as prayed for. Filed 6 June 1921 AW OFFICES

CLARENCE E. DAVIS
EBENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

TRUST CO. BLDG.

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CAMBRIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE ABOVE NAMED COURT:

The petition of the undersigned, members of Council and inhabitants of the Borough of Nanty Glo, County of Cambria and State of Pennsylvania, respectfully represents:

That it has become necessary to erect a new bridge to take the place of the existing bridge over the Blacklick Creek, in the said Borough of Nanty Glo, at the place where Chestnut Street crosses the said Creek and connects with Roberts Street in said Borough.

That the existing bridge heretofore erected at the aforesaid location has become wholly insufficient to properly accommodate the public travel by reason of the increased amount of public travel since the erection of the said existing bridge; that owing to the narrow width of the said existing bridge it is extremely dangerous to the traveling public.

That the erection of said bridge would be more expensive than it is reasonable that the said Borough of Nanty Glo should bear, and the erection thereof by the said Borough of Nanty Glo would cause a great burden upon the inhabitants of said Borough.

Wherefore your petitiooners pray the Court to appoint proper persons, qualified according to law, to view the place for said bridge and iquire whether such bridge is necessary, and its erection would be too expensive for said Borough of Nanty Glo to bear.

And they will ever pray etc.

Edw Carmel

MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. NANTY GLO BOROUGH INHABITANTS OF NANTY GLO BOROUGH State of Pennsylvania SS. County of Cambria C.M. Cullrugh being by me, the undersigned authority, duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the facts set forth in the foregoing petition are true and correct. RC. McCullough Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of June, A. D., 1921. My commission expires 124 Man.

, Ø^{y'}

-
18,
of n- i
eh or -

Martin 72(c) enros HAI Edw. Dary (SEAL

Now, to wit: fumble, 1921, the foregoing

bond approved.

By the Court

REPORT OF VIEWERS.

To the Honorable, the Judges of the Court of Quarter Sessions of Cambria County, Pennsylvania.

We, the undersigned members of the permanent board of viewers of Cambria County, appointed by your honorable Court upon the attached order and therein directed to view and ascertain as to the necessity for a new bridge over the Blacklick Creek in the Borough of Nanty-Glo, said county and state, respectfully report:-

That having given due notice of the view and of the time and place of meeting of the viewers to the Commissioners of Cambria County and to the Council of said borough and having them accept service of notices hereto attached and to the public by printed hand bills posted in public and conspicuous places at and near the site of the bridge to be viewed, and having been first duly qualified according to law to perform the duties of our appointment faithfully, impartially and to the best of our judgment and ability: all of us met at the time and place appointed, in accord with the notices given, and having carefully viewed the premises and the present structure and are all of the opinion that the present structure is not adequate to accomodate the present requirements and that a new and wider bridge or additions to the present structure by way of side walks adjoined to the extreme outer sides of the present structure are necessary for the accomodation, convenience and safety of the public: new and additional sidewalks eight foot in width adjoined to the outer sides of the present structure being in our opinion what is reasonably necessary, rather than a whole new structure.

As constructing the sidewalks above referred to would on the North Easterly side encroach upon property or buildings of the William Keeting, Estate, and Mrs. Frank Wargo; as indicated on the plot or draft hereto attached and made a part of this report; and having failed to obtain a release of damages from these parties, we have assessed the damages of the Keeting Estate at fifty dollars and the damages to Mrs. Frank Wargo at thirty dollars.

∠ ~ ~

Witness our hands this twenty minth day of July, A.D., 1921.

adam Shuman

Viewers.

No 2, June Sessions, 1921. In the Court of Suarter Ses sions of Cambria County. Pennsylvania: In Re-Order to View Bridge in Nant-Y-Glo Borough over Blacklick Creek at the place where Chestnut Street crosses the said Greek and connects with Roberts Street in said Borough. Exceptions of Mary Wargo to Report of Viewers. Faled //N v. 1921. 1 . . .

> MORGAN W. EVANS, CHARLES HASSON ATTORNEY AT LAW OPERA HOUSE BLDG. EBENSBURG, PA

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CAMBRIA COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 2, June Sessions, 1921. EXCEPTIONS TO REPORT OF VIEWERS.

In Re Order to View Bridge in Nant-Y-Glo Borough over Blacklick Creek at the place where Chestnut Street crosses the said Creek and connects with Roberts Street in said Borough.

Mary Wargo, otherwise known as Mrs. Frank Wargo, being a party in interest in the above entitled proceeding, files the following Exceptions to the Report of Viewers:

lst. That the Report of Viewers having been filed on August 8th, 1921, was filed irregularly and before the Return Day of the Order to View in the above proceeding, in violation of Rule No. 19 of the Rules of this Court and without notice to the Exceptant.

2nd. That the said Report of Viewers having been approved by the Court on August 8, 1921, which date was prior to the legal date for filing said report, the said approval by the Court was irregular, the said approval was without notice to the Exceptant.

3rd. That said Viewers in the performance of the duties of their said appointment, have neglected to prepare and file a report as required by the Act of 23d day of June, A. D., 1911, P. L. 1123.

4th. That said Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it fails to set forth in brief and concise paragraphic forms, findings of fact and conclusions of law, and has not attached thereto such a plan showing the properties affected, as is required by the 9th Section of the Act of 23d June, A. D., 1911, P. L. 1123.

5th. That the Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it fails to set forth whether or not the bridge is too expensive for the Borough of Nant-Y-Glo to construct, and if so, who should bear the expense of said improvements.

6th. That the Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it does not set forth by whom the cost of said improvements and the damages

- 4

incident thereto and assessed by them, shall be paid.

7th. That the Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it does not set forth what findings of fact or conclusions of law the said Board of Viewers considered in basing its recommendation for the improvement to the bridge.

8th. The Report of Viewers does not contain data or information sufficient to enable the Court, Grand Jury and County Commissioners to intelligibly review the same for the purpose of approval or disapproval, and is therefore fatally defective.

Margan Hasson

Attorneys for Exceptant.

Cambria County, ss:

Mary Wargo, being duly sworn arrording to law, deposes and says that the matters contained in the foregoing Exceptions, are true and correct as she verily believes.

Sworn and subscribed before me this 14th day of November, 1921.

Notary Public.

My Commission expires 7th March, 1925.

Marga Marga

No 2, June Sessions, 1921.

In the Court of Quarter Sessions of Cambria County. . Pennsylvania.

In Re Order to View Bridge in Nant-W-Glo Borough over Blacklick Creek where Chestnut Street crosses the said

Creek and connects with Roberts Street in said Borough. EXCEPTIONS OF ANNIE, M. .. KEATING TO REPORT OF BOARD

Filed / Nov. 1921

OF VIEWLRS.

MORGAN W. EVANS. CHARLES HASSON ATTORNEY AT LAW OPERA HOUSE BLDG. EBENSBURG, PA

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CAMBRIA COUNTY,'
PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 2, June Sessions, 1921.

EXCEPTIONS TO REPORT OF VIEWERS.

In Re Order to View Bridge in Nant-Y-Glo Borough over Blacklick Creek at the place where Chestnut Street crosses the said Creek and connects with Roberts Street in said Borough.

Annie M. Keating, being a party in interest in the above entitled proceeding, files the following Exceptions to the Report of Viewers:

lst. That the Report of Viewers having been filed on August 8th, 1921, was filed irregularly and before the Return Day of the Order to View in the above proceeding, in violation of Rule No. 19 of the Rules of this Court and without notice to the Exceptant.

2nd. That the Report of Viewers having been approved by the Court on August 8, 1921, which date was prior to the legal date for filing said report, the said approval by the Court was irregular, illegal and was without notice to the Exceptant.

3rd. That said Viewers in the performance of the duties of their said appointment, have neglected to prepare and file a report as required by the Act of 23d day of June, A. D., 1911, P. L. 1123.

4th. That said Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it fails to set forth in brief and concise paragraphic forms, findings of fact and conclusions of law, and has not attached thereto such a plan showing the properties affected, as is required by the 9th Section of the Act of 23d June, A. D., 1911, P. L. 1123.

5th. That the Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it fails to set forth whether or not the bridge is too expensive for the Borough of Nant-Y-Glo to construct, and if so, who should bear the expense of said improvements.

6th. That the Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it does not set forth by whom the cost of said improvements and the damagesninci-

dent thereto and assessed by them, shall be paid:

7th. That the Report of Viewers is fatally defective in that it does not set forth what findings of fact or conclusions of law the said Board of Viewers considered in basing its recommendation for the improvement to the bridge.

'8th: The Report of Viewers does not contain data or information sufficient to enable the Court, Grand Jury and County Commissioners to intelligently review the same for the purpose of approval or disapproval, and is therefore fatally defective:

Marles Hasson

Attorneys for Exceptant.

Cambria County, ss:

Annie M. Keating, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the matters contained in the foregoing Exceptions, are true and correct as she verily believes.

Sworn and subscribed before me this 14th day of November, 1921.

Notary Public:

My Commission expires 7th March. 1925.

ansine M. Keating

No. 2 June Sessions, 1921 R.D.

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CAMBRIA COUNTY

IN RE BRIDGE IN
NANTYGLO BOROUGH, OVER
BLACKLICK CREEK.

DECREE SUSTAINING EXCEPTIONS TO REPORT OF VIEWERS.

Teled & may 1922

J. C. Sharbaugh Official Stenographer For the Forty-Seventh Indicial Pistrict

Pennsylvania



IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CAMBRIA COUNTY. No. 2, JUNE SESSIONS, 1921. R.D.

In re Bridge in Nantyglo Borough over Blacklick Creek. Before Evans, P.J., McCann, J. and Reed, S.P.

PER CURIUM

The viewers in the above proceeding filed their report on the 8th day of August, 1921. On November 14, 1921, exceptions were filed to the said report by Mary Wargo and Annie M.Keating, the exceptions of both parties being the same. When the matter came before the Court for argument there had been a change of counsel for the Borough of Nantyglo, and the new counsel had not had opportunity to look into the question raised by the exceptions. After investigation, however, counsel advised the court that he agreed with the counsel for the exceptant that the exceptions should be sustained. After argument and full consideration of the questions raised in this proceeding we are of the opinion that the exception should be sustained and the report of the viewers set aside.

DECREE

Now, Light day of May 1922, exceptions sustained and the report of viewers set aside.

Ple Chram.

Manty"- Glo Borough. Roberts Street. Blacklich Sketch showing tocation of a County sord go in the Borough of Suggested location f proposed new Nanty-Glo, Cambria County, Pennsylvania By the position of proposed new pide: walks recommended to be emstructed sidewalks, in connection therewith. Location of present sidewalks recom-Viewed upon an Order of the mended to be added to width of present Court of Quarter Sessions of driver ay said County dated 6" June 1921. a. M. Shoernaker, y. D. Ritter and adam Thuman, (liewers),

BRIDGE NOTICE!

Notice is hereby given that the Vic	ewers appointed by the Court of
	bridge over the Blacklick Creek in
the Borough of Nanty-Glo. at	the place where Chestnut Street
crosses said Creek and connec	cts with Roberts Street in said
borough:	
~.	
*	
	proposed new bridge, in the
ofof	Nanty-Glo aforesaid
	19121, at 8:30 o'clock A. M.,
for the purpose of performing the duti	es of their appointment.
	A. M. Shoemaker,
•	J. D. Ritter and
Thomshum Dr. 0/20/2002	Adam Shuman

Now, July 21, 1921, Dervice of the within notice is hereby accepted for the Corneil of the Borough of Nanty Glo, Cambria Co, Pa P.C. Mish allrysh Cark

BRIDGE NOTICE!

Notice is hereby given that the Viewers appointed by the Court of Quarter Sessions of Cambria County, Pa., to view the site and determine as to the necessity for a new bridge over the Blacklick Creek in the Borough of Nanty-Glo, at the place where Chestnut Street crosses said Creek and connects with Roberts Street in said borough : will meet at the site of the proposed new bridge, in the Borough of Nanty-Glo aforesaid, on the 26th, day of July 1921, at 8:30 o'clock A. M. for the purpose of performing the duties of their appointment. A. M. Shoemaker, J. D. Ritter and

Ebensburg, Pa 7/16/ 19121.

Adam Shuman .

now, July 30 - 1921, Dervice Fwithin notice is hereby accepted for the Commissioners of Cambria Co. Fa. $\mathbf{r}_{i} = \mathbf{r}_{i} + \mathbf{r}_{i}$

nanty Glo. Bridge Gost of View a. M. Shoemaker Sifting Order 7 - 90 4/2 Days 36 mi (the trips) 33.75 1.80 -Engineering work, 30,00 66,45 adam Shuman 1 Day 4 mi \$ 7.50 J. D. Ritter 1 Day 62 miles \$ 7.5°° F 10. 60

	g=8.12
NO	_2, Junesessions, 192 l
Orde	r to view Bridge in Nanty Glo
Во	rough over Blacklick Creek
at	the place where Chestnut St.
cr	osses the said Creek and con
·wi	th Roberts Street in said
_	Order & Report of
2	cevers ANG 2 0 1921 CERTIFIED
<i>7.</i>	Tes of atigant 1921
	J. D. Ritter
	Adam Shuman
C!erk	,90 cts.
·	

8 August 1921 Approved Papelle

NOTE. "It shall be the duty of all persons appointed in the several counties of this Commonwealth to view and review any public or private road or bridge, if they shall decide in favor of locating said road or bridge, to endeavor to procure from the person or persons over whose land such location may be made releases from all claims for damages that might arise from the opening of such road or the building of such bridge; and in every case where said viewers shall fail to procure such releases, and it shall appear to them that any damages will be sustained, it shall be their duty to assess the damages and make report thereof signed by a majority of their number, and return the same, together with all releases obtanied, to the Court of Quarter Sessions, and the damages so assessed shall be conclusive, or may be subject to appeal, review or modification, as may be provided by existing laws in the different counties of this Commonwealth."

"The persons appointed as aforesaid shall view such ground, and if they shall agree that there is occasion for a road, they shall proceed to lay out the same, having respect to the shortest distance, and the best ground for a road, and in such manner as shall do the least injury to private property, and also be, as far as practicable, agree::ble to the desire of the petitioners."

"The viewers, as aforesaid, shall make report at the next term of said Court, and in the said report shall state particularly: First, who of them were present at the view, second, whether they were severally sworn or affirmed; third, whether the road desired be necessary for a public or private road; they shall also annex and return to the Court a plot or draft thereof, stating the courses and distances, and noting briefly the improvements through which it may pass; and, whenever practicable, the viewers shall lay out the said roads at an elevation not exceeding tive degrees (except at the crossing of ravines and streams), where, by moderate filling and bridging, the declination of the road may be preserved within that limit."

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, SS County of Cambria.

At a Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace of the County of Cambria held at Ebensburg, in the June ... A. D. 1921 ..., besaid County, on the 6th day of fore the Honorable Judge of the said Court: Upon the petition of divers inhabitants of the Foundation Nanty Glo ..., in the said County, setting forth that they labor under inconvenience for want of a Bridge -reakaritikkaaptekad from to take the place of the existing bridge over the Blacklick Creek in the said Borough of Nanty Glo at the place where Chestnut Street crosses the said Creek and connects with Roberts Street in said Borough. That the existing bridge heretofore erected at the afore--said location has become wholly insufficient to properly accomodate the public travel by reason of the increased amount of public travel since the erection of the said existing bridge: that owing to the narrow width of the said existing bridge it is extremely dangerous to the traveling public. That the erection of said bridge whould be more expensive than it is reasonable that the said Borough of Nanty Glo should bear, and the erection thereof by the said Borough of Nanty Glo would cause a great burden upon the inhabitants of said Borough. Wherefore your petitioners pray the Court to appoint proper persons, qualified according to law, to view the place for said bridge and inquire whether such bridge is necessary, and its erection would be too expensive for said Borough of Nanty Glo to bear. and therefore praying the Court to appoint proper persons to 'view and lay out the same according to law. The Court, upon due consideration had of the premises, do order and appoint A. M. Shoemaker - surveyor, and D. Ritter Adam Shuman bridge view the ground proposed for said read view the same, and shall agree that there is occasion for such road, they shall proceed to lay out the same, having respect to the shortest distance and the best ground for a road, and in such manner as shall do the least injury to private property, and also be as far as practicable, agreeable to the desires of the petitioners, and that they make a report of their proceedings to the next Court of Quarter Sessions to be held for said County, stating particularly whether they judge the same necessary for a public or private road, together with a plot or draft thereof, and the courses and distances, and references to the improvements through which it may pass.

By the Court.

Attest

Frank 6: Poll

Clerk: